

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 GLASS EGG DIGITAL MEDIA,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 GAMELOFT, INC., et al.,
14 Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-04165-MMC](#)

**ORDER RE: STIPULATION
REQUESTING CLARIFICATION OF
REACH OF JURISDICTIONAL
DISCOVERY ORDERS**

Re: Dkt. No. 199

15
16 Before the Court is the Stipulation, filed August 1, 2019, "Requesting Clarification
17 of Reach of Jurisdictional Discovery Orders," by which stipulation plaintiff Glass Egg
18 Digital Media ("Glass Egg") and defendant Gameloft SE ("GLSE") seek further
19 clarification as to the Court's order of February 12, 2018 ("February 12 Order"), as
20 clarified by the Court's order of July 18, 2019 ("July 18 Order"). In particular, the parties
21 seek clarification as to whether the February 12 Order, whereby Glass Egg was afforded
22 limited discovery on the issue of personal jurisdiction over GLSE, is intended to apply to
23 jurisdictional discovery requests directed to third parties, or only to jurisdictional discovery
24 requests directed to parties to the instant action.


25 Although, at the time the February 12 Order was issued, the Court anticipated the
26 discovery afforded by said order would focus primarily on requests directed to GLSE, it
27 was not the Court's intent to exclude from the discovery afforded, or exempt from the
28 limitations placed thereon, jurisdictional discovery requests directed to third parties.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In sum, the February 12 Order, as clarified by the July 18 Order, applies to third-party discovery, including the subpoenas that prompted the instant request for clarification.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 9, 2019


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge